







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,054	03/27/2001	Jeffrey Paul Grundvig	20-149	9186
7590 08/24/2004 MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			EXAMINER	
			VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N	
2000 M Street, N. W., 7th Floor Washington, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

grave (A)	• 5					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/817,054	GRUNDVIG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth N Vanderpuye	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 2 M	AONTH(S) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-13</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Burea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received.				
	,					
Attention and (a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 Intentiew	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						





Application/Control Number: 09/817,054

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-sare rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Marko et al.(5,325,405).

With regards to claim 1, the admitted prior art teaches a single slot based data burst comprising: a plurality of time slot based data frames (Fig. 5B). What the admitted prior art fails to teach is the limitation, less than all of said plurality of time slot based data frames including a sync word, remaining ones of said plurality of time slot based data frames not including a sync word. Marko in Fig. 1b, shows multiple frames with the first frame carrying sync information. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching in Marko with the admitted prior art for the purpose of excluding the synch information from subsequent frames. The motivation is to achieve data bandwidth efficiency.





Application/Control Number: 09/817,054

Art Unit: 2661

Claim 2 is rejected because the admitted prior art teaches TDMA frames.

Claims 3-4 are rejected because Marko teaches sync information included at the beginning of said less than all of said plurality of time slot based data frames.(Fig. 1b).

Claim 5 is rejected because Marko teaches a data burst wherein said remaining ones of said time slot based data frames include data payload in a position containing said sync word in said less than all of said plurality of time slot based data frames.(Fig. 1b).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-13 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 703-308-7828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on 703-305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.





Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER

KNV 8/20/04